LME Alternative Service Request for Use of DMHDDSAS State Funds

For Proposed MH/DD/SAS Service Not Included in Approved Statewide IPRS Service Array

Note: Submit completed request form electronically to Wanda Mitchell, Budget and Finance Team, at Wanda.Mitchell@ncmail.net, and to Spencer Clark, Chief's Office, Community Policy Management Section, at Spencer.Clark@ncmail.net. Questions about completing and submitting this form may be addressed to Brenda G. Davis, CPM Chief's Office, at Brenda.G.Davis@ncmail.net or (919) 733-4670, or to Spencer Clark at Spencer.Clark@ncmail.net or (919) 733-4670.

a. Name of LME Wake County Human Services Local Management Entity		b. Date Submitted 10/17/2008
c. Name of Proposed LME Alternative Service	mics Definition on of law 2011)	
Assertive Engagement – YA341 (A Statewide Alt-Se	rvice Definition as of Jan 2011)	
d. Type of Funds and Effective Date(s): (Check All the	at Apply)	
State Funds: Effective 7-01-07 to 6-30-0	8 State Funds: Effective 7-01	-08 to 6-30-09
e. Submitted by LME Staff (Name & Title)	f. E-Mail	g. Phone No.
Tamara Strickland, WCHS LME Care Coordination	tstrickland@co.wake.nc.us	919-212-8356
Program Manager or DeDe Severino, WCHS LME ASA Program	dede.severino@co.wake.nc.us	919-250-1534
Manager, Provider & Community Development		

Background and Instructions:

This form has been developed to permit LMEs to request the establishment in IPRS of Alternative Services to be used to track state funds though a fee-for-service tracking mechanism. An LME that receives state single stream or other state non-UCR funding shall use such funding to purchase or start up services included in the Integrated Payment and Reporting System (IPRS) service array and directed towards the approved IPRS target population(s). If the LME wishes to propose the use of state funds for the provision of an Alternative Service that is not included in the IPRS service array, the LME shall submit an *LME Alternative Service Request for Use of DMHDDSAS State Funds*.

This form shall be completed to fully describe the proposed Alternative Service for which Division approval is requested in order to develop an IPRS reporting code and an appropriate rate for the Alternative Service.

Please use the following template to describe the LME's proposed Alternative Service definition and address all related issues using the standard format and content categories that have been adopted for new MH/DD/SA Services.

Please note that:

- an individual LME Alternative Service Request form is required to be completed for <u>each</u> proposed Alternative Service;
- a separate Request for Waiver is required to be submitted to the Division for the LME to be authorized by the Secretary to <u>directly</u> provide an approved Alternative Service; and
- the current form is <u>not</u> intended to be utilized in SFY 07-08 for the reporting on the use of county funds by an LME. The Division continues to work with the County Funds Workgroup to establish a mechanism to track

NCDMHDDSAS Approved Effective: CPM Revised:

5	Projected Annual N Alternative Service 1000	umber of Persons to be Served with State Funds by LME through this	
6	Estimated Annual A	mount of State Funds to be Expended by LME for this Alternative Service	
	processing for IPRS the Historically, Wake hat of best practice or high gathered in the first y		
7	Eligible IPRS Target Population(s) for Alternative Service: (Check all that apply)		
	Assessment Only:	⊠AII □CMAO □AMAO □CDAO □ADAO □CSAO □ASAO	
	Crisis Services:	⊠AII □CMCS □AMCS □CDCS □ADCS □CSCS □ASCS	
	Child MH:	□AII ☑CMSED ☑CMMED □CMDEF □CMPAT □CMECD	
	Adult MH:	□AII ⊠AMSPM ⊠AMSMI □AMDEF □AMPAT □AMSRE	
	Child DD:	CDSN	
	Adult DD:	□AII □ADSN □ADMRI	
	<u>Child SA</u> :	⊠AII □CSSAD □CSMAJ □CSWOM □CSCJO □CSDWI □CSIP □CSSP	
	Adult SA:	□AII ⊠ASCDR ⊠ASHMT ⊠ASWOM ⊠ASDSS □ASCJO □ASDWI □ASDHH □ASHOM ⊠ASTER	
	Comm. Enhance.:	□AII □CMCEP □AMCEP □CDCEP □ADCEP □ASCEP □CSCEP	
	Non-Client:	□CDF	
8	Definition of Reimb	ursable Unit of Service: (Check one)	
	☐ Service Event	oxtimes 15 Minutes $oxtimes$ Hourly $oxtimes$ Daily $oxtimes$ Monthly	
	☐ Other: Explain_		
9	Proposed IPRS Ave	rage Unit Rate for LME Alternative Service	
	service within differe	unit rate is for Division funds, the LME can have different rates for the same nt providers. What is the proposed <u>average</u> IPRS Unit Rate for which the LME se the provider(s) for this service?	
		\$15.00	
10		Methodology for Determination of Proposed IPRS Average Unit Rate for achment as necessary)	
	assertive outreach ar	e for this service, we took the average per unit cost of community support and not decreased it by 15%. We feel that this new service encompasses Community Support and Assertive Outreach. The average rate is applicable to	
11	Provider Organizati	on Requirements	

	 Assertive Engagement services must be delivered by practitioners employed by mental health or substance abuse provider organizations that: meet the provider qualification policies, procedures, and standards established by the Division of Medical Assistance (DMA); meet the provider qualification policies, procedures, and standards established by the Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Abuse Services (MH/DD/SAS); and fulfill the requirements of 10A NCAC 27G.
12	Staffing Requirements by Age/Disability This service can be provided by licensed clinicians, QP, AP or Paraprofessional staff
13	Program and Staff Supervision Requirements AP or Paraprofessional staff must be supervised by a QP
14	Requisite Staff Training Staff providing this service must have knowledge of motivational enhancement techniques or complete such training prior to delivering this service.
15	Service Type/Setting Assertive Engagement is intended to be flexible in its approach to meet the needs of adults and/or children in their own setting or current location. This service can be delivered as part of the discharge planning process from state operated facilities and correctional facilities as well as in association with specific best and evidence based practices identified by the LME.
16	Program Requirements Assertive Engagement is designed to be an individual service requiring frequent contact to build/reestablish a trusting, meaningful relationship to engage or re-engage the individual into services and/or assess for needs. The service is designed to: • Assess for and provide linkage to the appropriate level of care • Identify methods for helping consumers become engaged and involved in their care • Reduce hospitalization frequency and duration • Reduce utilization of crisis services • Reduce criminal/juvenile justice involvement and days incarcerated or in detention • Provide continuity of care regardless of life circumstances or recovery environment • Improve compliance with medication • Increase social networks and improve family relationships • Prevent relapse
17	Entrance Criteria Consumers with a documented severe or serious mental illness and/or addictive disorder who have history of erratic or non-engagement in treatment are eligible for this service. They must be identified as in need of active engagement, have experienced a significant therapeutic disconnect with the service provider or have an instance of/situation resulting in hospitalizations, jail days, or involvement with law enforcement.
18	Entrance Process Selected providers offering high intensity or best practice services may be able to utilize the service as one strategy to engage and retain consumers, prevent the repeated use of hospital or

NCDMHDDSAS Approved Effective: CPM Revised:

	other crisis services, and reduce jail/detention utilization. Elements of the assertive engagement process include building trust with the consumer; assisting consumers with meeting basic needs for shelter, food and safety; providing education regarding services and making collateral contacts with family and others working with the consumer. Wake LME has developed a methodology for identifying those consumers with a high level of non-compliance and numerous hospitalizations, and these consumers will be prioritized for this service. Wake LME will develop a benefit plan outlining the amount and intensity of the service, which may be provided, based on individual consumer need and available funding.
19	Continued Stay Criteria
	Not applicable; this is a short-term engagement service and not designed as a long-term method of service delivery.
20	Discharge Criteria
	Consumer is fully engaged in services;
	OR Consumer has refused recommended services after reasonable attempts have been made to engage him/her in treatment and no safety issues or concerns are present.
21	Evaluation of Consumer Outcomes and Perception of Care
	 Describe how outcomes for this service will be evaluated and reported including planned utilization of and findings from NC-TOPPS, the MH/SA Consumer (Satisfaction) Surveys, the National Core Indicators Surveys, and/or other LME outcomes and perception of care tools for evaluation of the Alternative Service Relate emphasis on functional outcomes in the recipient's Person Centered Plan
	Since this is a very short-term service, standard outcome measurement instruments such as NC TOPPS, MH/SA Consumer Satisfaction or NCI surveys are not applicable.
	Consumer outcomes: Consumers will re-engage with a provider agency or engage with a new provider agency Consumers' utilization of community-based services will increase Consumers' state hospital admissions will be reduced Consumers' state hospital bed utilization will be reduced Consumers' admissions to crisis evaluation and observation services will be reduced Consumers' admissions to facility based crisis services will be reduced Consumers' rate of incarceration will be reduced
22	Service Documentation Requirements
	 Is this a service that can be tracked on the basis of the individual consumer's receipt of services that are documented in an individual consumer record?
	oxtimes Yes $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$ If "No", please explain.
	• <i>Minimum standard for frequency of note, i.e. per event, daily, weekly, monthly, etc.</i> Minimum standard is a daily service note that includes the consumer's name, date of service, purpose of contact, duration of contact and the signature and credentials of the person providing the service.
23	Service Exclusions
	None, various basic and enhanced services, as appropriate, are allowable. Examples might include medication management/evaluation, SAIOP, SACOT, ACT, etc.

NCDMHDDSAS Approved Effective: CPM Revised:

24	Service Limitations		
	Not to exceed 2 hours per day.		
25	Evidence-Based Support and Cost Efficiency of Proposed Alternative Service		
	Assertive Engagement is a central component in a comprehensive continuum of community-based services. Research has shown a		
	 35% decrease in hospitalization 62% reduction in number of days in hospital 		
	Significant improvement in coping skills and quality of life		
	Fewer interactions with police		
	www.scmh.org.uk		
26	LME Fidelity Monitoring and Quality Management Protocols for Review of Efficacy and Cost- Effectiveness of Alternative Service		
	System Level (across consumer served through this proposed alternative service definition):		
	State hospital admissions will be reduced State hospital had utilization will be reduced.		
	 State hospital bed utilization will be reduced Recidivism rates for crisis evaluation and observation services will be reduced 		
	Recidivism rates for facility-based crisis services will be reduced		
	Incarceration rate will be reduced		
27	LME Additional Explanatory Detail (as needed)		
	None		