

Date: March 7, 2024

To: All VENDORS, BIDDERS, and RESPONDENTS

Addendum Number #1

Questions/Answers

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE RETURNED WITH THE RFQ SUBMISSION

Interior Architecture Design Services
RFQ #24-002

This Addendum forms part of Alliance's RFQ #24-002. All other requirements of the original specifications remain in effect.

- The last day for receiving questions regarding this project was March 6, 2024
- Qualifications are due: March 15, 2024 by 3:00 p.m. ET.
- Qualifications must be emailed to: admcontracts@alliancehealthplan.org
- All Addenda to be posted on the Alliance website https://www.alliancehealthplan.org/about/rfps/
- The following questions have been received, and are answered herein:

ITEM #	VENDORS, BIDDERS OR RESPONDENTS QUESTION	ALLIANCE HEALTH RESPONSE
1	Re: Testfits - There is reference to tesfits being available within the RFQ, can those be shared at this time?	Test fits will be shared with the most responsive firm(s).
2	RE: Testfits - For the reference to Tesfit provided by others, can you confirm who was involved in the testfit development?	Turner & Townsend Heery provided test fits to confirm if the space would meet the program requirements.
3	RE: Consultants - Confirm any consultants that will be contracted to the Client/PM that design team would coordinate with?	Turner & Townsend Heery Owner's project manager. AV/IT, Security, Furniture
4	RE: Consultants - Designer assumes consultants such as MEPFP and if needed Structural, Acoustician, AV/IT integrator, food services and any role that would impact the completion of the project directly will be contracted through the designer.	Designer consultants such as MEPFP and if needed Structural, Acoustician should be contracted through the designer. No food service design is required. AV/IT integrator will be contracted through Alliance
5	RE: Consultants - How does the partnership with the PM group affect the design teams contract and decision making within the consultant partnerships and contractor selection?	PM reviews RFQ responses based on the documentation submitted as it pertains to the evaluation criteria.
6	RE: Budget - Has a budget been established for the two locations and does it consider costs associated with expediting completion of the Charlotte location given the July1, 2024 date?	The best qualified firm will be selected, regardless of cost and if pricing is outside of budget limitations, negotiations will commence.

		1
7	RE: Standards - Please confirm what level of standards/guidelines the Client has for these type	Coordination of location for data and electrical with
	spaces and does it include AV/IT and infrastructure	furniture and AV will be required.
	requirements or will these need ot be set by the design team as part of the design process?	'
8	RE: Space Description - Space is described as	
	training and administration. Are there occasions	
	where public or clients will be there? Does the	Branding is done internally.
	program needs reception and brand experience at	
	the level to host outside people? RE: Space Description - Are there any	No healthcare services are provided at this site. This is
9	programmatic requirements that involve Alliance	administrative office space with training functions for
	specific Healthcare standards/requirements?	internal employees and providers.
10	RE: Existing Conditions - Are digital base plans	
	available for both locations and can you confirm the	Digital base plans are available in CAD and pdf.
	format? CAD? BIM?	
11	DE E : () O I'() NACH AH	Yes, Alliance will be repurposing or relocating existing
	RE: Existing Conditions - Will Alliance be repurposing or relocating existing equipment or	equipment and furniture. The design team will not be
	furniture? Will the design team be responsible for	responsible for inventory of existing product and evaluating condition for re-use. The design team will
	taking inventory of existing product and evaluating	be responsible for identifying locations for
	condition for re-use?	data/electrical connections in conjunction with the
		furniture.
12	RE: Section 3.0 - Within the schedule it notes	
	"negotiations complete". We do not see a request	This is a request for qualifications. After review of
	for fees required within the RFQ. Can you confirm the reference to negotiations and when the fees	qualifications, fees will be negotiated with the firm deemed most responsive.
	may be required in the process?	decined most responsive.
13	RE: Section 5.0 - Second paragraph speaks to	Hard capies are not required, and digital/ampiled
	delayed delivery and states that email, telephone or	Hard copies are not required, and digital/emailed copies are acceptable. We strike the statement in
	fax will not be accepted. Earlier in the document,	section 5.0, paragraph 2 that states "Submittals
	Section 3.0, request is made to submit the response	received by email, telephone, or facsimile will not be
	to an email. Are both digital and hard copy required?	accepted."
14	RE: Section 5.0 Item#3 - This references the need	
14	to send multiple emails and their titles. Can you	Email size limit is 20MB. We can also receive/send
	confirm the file size accepted by the email to which	large files through LiquidFiles.
	we will be sending the response?	
15	RE: Tab 1 - Final Sentence reads "Submit a brief	
	statement of history including ownership,	
	mergers/acquisitions, business partnerships, and other significant business events." Please confirm	Provide firm overview for i.e., regional, national, global.
	to what level you would like this information; i.e.,	Focus of this RFQ is on similar projects and history of
	regional, national. global. We are a global company	work in the local jurisdictions.
	with NC office which will be servicing this work.	,
	Assume you want the history on the local office	
	primarily, is that a correct understanding?	
16	Is there a page limitation? If so, is the page limit	No
	considered double-sided or single-sided?	

EXECUTE ADDENDUM:	
VENDOR, BIDDER, RESPONDENT:	
COMPLETE ADDRESS:	
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE:	DATE:
NAME (PRINT):	
TITLE (PRINT)	